

**CORRIGENDUM-2
Tender No – 110330I/WGI/GAIL/01-R0
E TENDER No- 8000003775**

DATE: 14.12.2011

COMMERCIAL QUERIES

Sr. No.	Section / Clause No.	Tender Doc. Page No.	Clause Description	Bidders Queries	WGI / GAIL Reply to Bidders Queries
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ITB, Section-II, Clause No. 14.3 & 14.8 EMD Format F-4 (Page 96 of Vol-I of II) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 34 & 35 of Vol-I of II 96 of Vol-I of II 	Bid Security/ EMD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clause No. 14.3 stipulates, Bid Security to be made in favour of GAIL (India) Limited, Mumbai. Whereas as per Clause No. 14.8 & B.G. Format (F-4), Bid Security to be made in favour of GAIL (India) Limited, New Delhi. <p>Kindly clarify the address.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bid Security is to be made in favour of GAIL (India) Limited, Mumbai.
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section-I (IFB), Clause no. 3.2. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10 of Vol-I of II 	SCOPE OF SUPPLY WORKS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is it mandatory for the Indian Bidder, who quote for Option-3 only, have mandatorily quote for Option-2 for all the alternatives? In that case will they require to submit the EMD amount of 5.49 + 0.81 = 6.30 Lacs as per Section-I, IFB Clause No. 4.1 or it will suffice to submit EMD amount of 5.49 Lacs to Participate for Option-3 & 2 both. <p>Kindly Clarify.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is not mandatory for Bidders who quote for Option -3 to quote for Option -2 also.
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section-I, IFB, Clause No.1.2.8 (Bid Document Fee) Section-II, ITB, Clause No. 20 (Marking of Bids) - Page 38 Vol-I of II) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7 of Vol-I of II 38 Vol-I of II 	MARKING OF BIDS	<p>Refer Section-I, IFB - Salient Features of Bid Documents Clause No.1.2.8 which stipulates, Bid Document Fees of Rs. 1500/- for Indian Bidder.</p> <p>However, Section-II, ITB Clause No. 20 (Marking of Bids) no reference has been given about Bid Document Fees & mentioned that Part-IV is Deleted.</p> <p>Kindly confirm that Bid</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> At Clause 20 of ITB following para has been added instead of word "DELETED": Bid Document Fee: (Part IV) in Physical form: "Original bid document fee shall be sealed in envelope clearly super scribing "Bid Document Fee for RCF – TROMBAY PIPELINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT "Original". The bidder has the option to submit these documents in physical form



RCF –TROMBAY PIPELINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT
TENDER FOR BARE/COATING
COATED LINE PIPE
REPLIES TO BIDDERS QUERIES



				Document Fees is Applicable or not, if yes, then inform the details in which form & in whose favour it has to be submitted.	on / before the bid due date or with in 7 (seven) days from bid opening date. However, scanned copies of these (same) documents must be submitted on line as part of e-bid (in collaboration folder) before the bid due date/time". Bid Doc. Fee is to be paid in the form of 'Crossed Paying Accounts only' Bank Drafts/ Banker Cheque payable to GAIL, Mumbai.
--	--	--	--	--	--

TECHNICAL QUERIES

Sr No	Clause	Section Detail	Section/Description	Bidder Queries	JPK/ GAIL-Replies to Bidder's Queries
4	Vol II of II	(Specification for LSAW Bare Pipes)		As per Client specification for Bare (LSAW), it indicates to refer to APL 5L clause no. ...But the same clause no. not available in API 5L while some clauses refers to API 43rd Edition. But according to scope of client spec., it refers to latest edition of APL 5L i.e. 44th Edition. For eg. 1-Client spec. clause 6.7.6 for crack refer to APL Clause 9.7.5.4 c ,d 2- Client spec. clause 6.7.7 for lamination refer to APL Clause 9.7.5.4 c ,d Kindly Clarify.	Bidder has to follow as per the latest addition of API 5L. It seems there are some typo errors which is to be ignored